```
0001
01
         BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
01
02
                  OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
02
03
                 ROBERT H. TOURTELOT, CHAIRMAN
03
04
04
05
05
06
06
07 In the Matter of:
07
08 The Regular Board Meeting of )
08 the California Horse Racing Board )
09 __
09
10
10
11
11
12
12
13
13
14
14
15
15
16
16
17
                    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17
18
                      Del Mar, California
18
                     Thursday, July 19, 2001
19
19
20
20
21
21
22
22
23
23
24
24
25 Reported By:
25
26 SUZANNE KELLY,
26 CSR No. 12096
27
27 Job No.:
28 CHBG990
28
```

```
0002
             BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
01
01
02
                    OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
02
03
                  ROBERT H. TOURTELOT, CHAIRMAN
03
04
04
05
05
06
06
07 In the Matter of:
07
08 The Regular Board Meeting of
08 the California Horse Racing Board )
09
09
10
10
11
11
12
12
13
13
14
14
15
                 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken
15
16
           at 2260 Jimmy Durante Drive, Del Mar,
16
17
           California, commencing at 10:05 a.m.,
17
           on Thursday, July 19, 2001, heard before
18
18
           ROBERT H. TOURTELOT, Chairman, reported by
19
19
           SUZANNE KELLY, CSR No. 12096, a Certified
20
20
21
           Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of
21
22
           California.
22
23
23
24
24
25
 25
 26
26
27
27
28
 28
```

```
0003
01 APPEARANCES:
01
02
                                          Robert H. Tourtelot
          Chairman:
02
03
                                          William A. Bianco
          Member:
03
04
          Member:
                                          Sheryl L. Granzella
04
                                          John C. Harris
05
          Member:
05
          Member:
                                          Alan W. Landsburg
06
06
07
          Member:
                                          Roger H. Licht
07
                                          Marie G. Moretti
08
        Member:
08
09
        Executive Director:
                                        Roy C. Wood, Jr.
09
        Deputy Attorney General: Thomas A. Blake
10
11
11
12
12
13
13
14
14
15
15
16
16
17
17
18
18
19
19
20
20
21
21
22
22
23
23
24
24
25
25
26
26
27
27
28
28
0004
```

01	I N D E X	
01 02 02	AGENDA ITEM NUMBER:	PAGE
03 03 04	<pre>1 - Approval of the minutes of the regular meeting of June 1, 2001</pre>	6
04 05 05 06 06 07	2 - Discussion and action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of the Bay Meadows Operating Company LLC (T) at Bay Meadows, commencing August 31 through November 5, 2001, inclusive	6
08 08 09 09 10	3 - Discussion and action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of the Humboldt County Fair (F) at Ferndale, commencing August 9 through August 19, 2001, inclusive	20
11 11 12 12 13 13	4 - Discussion and action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of the Los Angeles County Fair (F) at Fairplex, commencing September 7 throug September 24, 2001, inclusive	22 ₇ h
14 14 15 15 16 16	5 - Discussion and action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of Capitol Racing LLC, (H) at Sacramento, commencing September 28 through February 22, 2002, inclusive	25
17 17 18 18 19	6 - Discussion and action by the Board on the proposed regulatory amendment to CHRB Rule 1691 - Colors and Number, to permit advertising on jockey attire, owner silks and track saddle cloths	38
20 20	7 - Report by the California Marketing Committee	40
21 21 22 22 23	8 - Discussion and action by the Board on the request of the Bay Meadows Operating Company, LLC, to distribute charity race day proceeds in the amount of \$40,599 to 12 beneficiaries	64
23 24 24 25	9 - Staff report on the following concluded race meet: A) San Joaquin County Fair at Stockton from June 13, 2001 through June 24, 2001	65
25 25 26	10 - Report from the Race Dates Committe	66
26 27	11 - General Business	67
27 28 28 0005	12 - Old Business	76

01 Del Mar, California, Thursday, July 19, 2001 02 10:05 a.m. 03 04 05 MR. WOOD: Good morning ladies and gentlemen. Will the 06

08

09

10

15

17

20

02

03

05

06

07

8 0

12

15

16

17

18

2.1 22 meeting please come to order. This is the regular meeting of the California Horse Racing Board being conducted on Thursday, July 19, 2001, at the Del Mar Satellite Wagering Facility in Del Mar, California.

Present at today's meeting are: Chairman 11 Robert Tourtelot, Commissioner William A. Bianco, Commissioner 12 Sheryl Granzella, Commissioner John Harris, Commissioner 13 Alan Landsburg, Commissioner Roger Licht, and Commissioner 14 Marie Moretti.

If you have any business to conduct in front of 16 this meeting today please give the court reporter your name and a business card if you have a business card. With that 18 the meeting is now in order. And I'll turn it over to our 19 chairman, Robert Tourtelot.

Mr. TOURTELOT: Good morning and welcome to the July 21 monthly meeting of the California Horse Racing Board. Before 22 we start on the agenda, there's two items: I want to on 23 behalf of the Board thank Joe Harper (phonetic) and Del Mar 24 for allowing us to use their facilities, and also for a great 25 opening day yesterday; and secondly I wanted to recognize 26 Lonnie Powell (phonetic), who is here with us somewhere. And 27 Lonnie is going to be leaving for Lexington, Kentucky at the 28 end of the week to take over the Racing Commissioner's 0006

01 International Organization. So you have our congratulations and best wishes, Lonnie.

First item on the agenda is the approval of the 04 minutes of the regular meeting of June 1, 2001.

Is there a motion?

MR. LANDSBURG: So moved.

(Motion was unanimously carried)

MR. TOURTELOT: Second item, discussion and action by the Board on the Application for the License to Conduct a 10 Horse Racing Meeting of the Bay Meadows Operating Company at 11 Bay Meadows, commencing August 31 through November 5, 2001.

MS. WAGNER: Good morning, Commissioners.

13 Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. The application before you is from 14 the Bay Meadows Operating Company. They have filed their application to race from August 31st through November the 5th, which is 52 days, which is five days less than they raced in the year 2000.

They are proposing to race a total of 447 races or 19 8.6 races per day. They are requesting to increase the number 20 of races if sufficient -- if horses are available. They need the ten percent requirement for stakes purses paid for Cal-bred stakes races. We've also received an amended calendar request from them. They are proposing to race on 24 Monday, September 24, rather than Thursday, September the 6th.

25 They will be racing five days per week, Wednesday through

26 Sunday; four days per week August 31st to September the 3rd;

27 six days per week, which will be a Wednesday through a Monday, 28 on the weeks of September the 5th through 10th, October 3rd

0007

02

09

17

19

2.1

28

8000

02

07

09

10

15

19

21

23

2.6

01 through the 8th, and October 31st through November 5th.

They are proposing to race eight races per day on 03 Mondays, Wednesdays, Thursdays, and Fridays; nine or ten races 04 on Saturday; and eight or nine races on Sunday. First post 05 time will be 1:45 p.m. daily between August 31st and September 06 23rd; proposing a 12:05 p.m. post on September the 26th 07 through November 5th, and a 7:15 p.m. post time on Friday 08 nights.

The missing information that was noted in the 10 analysis, I do have an update on that. The horseman's 11 agreement has been signed. It is subject to approval of the 12 overnight stakes. The fire clearance is being performed as we 13 speak and we should receive that by Monday as soon as we get 14 back to the office. And we have received the financial 15 statements for the year 2000. Staff would recommend the Board 16 approve the application.

MR. TOURTELOT: Any comments or questions from the 18 commissioners?

MR. HARRIS: What is the logic of that six-day week on 20 September 24?

MR. ZIEGLER: I'm Mike Ziegler from Bay Meadows. 22 Originally we had intended on running on Thursday, I quess 23 it's September 6th, and due to the fact that Del Mar closes on 24 the 5th and Fairplex doesn't open till the 7th -- we want to 25 run concurrently with the south; so we're switching that date 26 which was allocated out with the 24th, which would be closing 27 day at Fairplex, thus concurrent racing opens up.

MR. HARRIS: Do you have any concerns about field sizes

01 with these six-day weeks?

MR. ZIEGLER: Obviously field size is a concern, but 03 we're pretty confident in the fact that -- the way Fair has 04 turned out this summer, that moving forward we'll be able to 05 continue with the six-day weeks successfully as they have been 06 so far this summer.

MR. HARRIS: Do you have six-day weeks for the whole 08 week if you can get them?

MR. ZIEGLER: No.

MR. HARRIS: On page 5, one of my issues on these 11 applications is that we're trying to redo the applications and 12 see if there's anything, you know, that would make -- be 13 better stated different ways. And one of the things -- I'm 14 not sure if it's the math or if there's something I'm missing -- but you say the estimated purse distribution of all 16 stakes race is 450,000, but on the next page there's \$650,000 17 worth of listed stakes that doesn't even include the overnight 18 stakes. So what are we missing here?

MR. ZIEGLER: What we're missing is the money provided 20 to us by Breeder's Cup.

MR. HARRIS: I see. Are you talking about running any 22 overnight stakes?

MR. ZIEGLER: We are going to run overnight stakes, but 24 the schedule is still pending. And those will be listed as 25 overnight races as opposed to stakes.

MR. LANDSBURG: While you're provisioning for the 27 possibility of five more days, where would you put them?

28 MR. ZIEGLER: No. We have five additional days last 0009 01 year. That's what we stated. MR. LANDSBURG: I thought I heard Jackie -- I thought I 0.2 03 read, if you have sufficient races or horses available you 04 want to go five more days. ZIEGLER: Actually the statement was referring to if we 06 have sufficient horses available we'd like to run more races 07 than the 8.6. 08 MR. TOURTELOT: Jackie, I note the absence of the 09 provision regarding the backstretch that all laws, regulations 10 have been complied with. When are we ever going to get that 11 into our application? It's been almost a year now. MS. WAGNER: We are working to finalize that as we 13 speak. There have been proposed changes to that application 14 and we have completed those changes. And that is in the 15 process of being noticed. 16 MR. TOURTELOT: If there's going to be further delay 17 because of other changes that people can't, you know, 18 finalize, I think we ought to still go ahead and put in the 19 backstretch that -- something that we've talked about. I 20 don't think it's that complicated to put in there. MS. WAGNER: I will make that note for the following 21 22 meetings, because we are inspecting those backstretch areas. 23 Those are being done in conjunction when we do the track 24 safety inspections. In the future I will make a notation on 25 the analysis so that you know those inspections are happening. 26 MR. TOURTELOT: I know they're being done. Mr. Minami 27 came down and did the Del Mar, but we want the 28 representation with respect to all the laws and regulations 0010 01 and safety. 02 Any other questions? 03 MR. HARRIS: This sort of ties into the application on 04 page 6, it talks about the minimum number of stalls with the 05 race being 900. That doesn't really seem that logical that 06 you could conduct the whole race with 900 stalls. 07 MR. ZIEGLER: That number is referring to the number of 08 stalls on track, but we're also using as many as 1100 off 09 track at the Golden Gate Fields facility as well as Pleasanton 10 area. 11 MR. HARRIS: As I interpreted that, the form is 12 supposed to be how many total stalls you need to conduct the 13 race meeting, is what it says. And it should really be that 14 total number and then you figure how many you have on track 15 and how many you have off track. MR. ZIEGLER: I understand where you're coming from, 16 17 and we should note that for future applications that it would 18 be the total number that we're using as opposed to what's just 19 on track. 20 MR. HARRIS: I don't know if anyone's here from 21 Sacramento, but I know they had some concerns on overlap as 22 far as the quality of racing. 23 MR. ELLIOTT: David Elliott, California State Fair. 24 I'll be brief, I promise. We have -- our condition book is 25 out. We have written our condition book in a manner to where 26 the four days of overlap -- we do not have one race in the

27 condition book that is about a twelve five claimer. I believe 28 we have two twelve five maidens, and we have two open twelve 0011

01 five claimers. And, you know, if the Board -- if Bay Meadows 02 is going to be allowed to run the total number of races that 03 they're asking for and overlap weekends, then maybe I would just ask if there's some way the Bay Meadows racing office can 05 work with our racing office in trying to schedule races, so 06 that we're not on top of each other like we have been for 07 every single year since I've been around regarding the cheaper 08 horses, the 32's, the 4's, the 6250's that basically -- you 09 know, we run a lot of maiden eights on the last weekend, and 10 yeah, that's great if they're full fields, but we'd like to at 11 least have a shot of keeping the full fields that we expect to 12 have during the first part of our meet without overlap.

13

19

20

08

13

16

17

19

2.4

MR. LIEBAU: Jack Liebau, president of Bay Meadows. 14 think in the past that there has been a certain amount of 15 coordination between Bay Meadows and Cal Expo. And, in fact, 16 I think in the prior two years the racing secretaries did, in 17 fact, work on the condition book. This time it's been sort of 18 preempted, because the condition book in Sacramento is already out.

I would note for the record that during the 21 overlap last year the Sacramento averaged 7.68, only averaged 22 7.13 when they weren't overlapped. Also I think there's 23 different types of horses as far as -- our average purse at 24 Bay Meadows is 18,000 plus. And during the overlap last year, 25 they ran 9,000. So I don't really think we are using up the 26 same horse population.

27 Also I find it hard to understand how races, which 28 I think Mr. Elliott was objecting to, on Labor Day would 0012

01 impact him, since Labor Day is his closing day. If he did 02 think there was a problem -- I know it has been suggested by CARF that the fairs take their entries earlier; that would 0.3 04 help them as far as simulcasting on the out-of-state basis. 05 And so we would have no objections to them taking entries on 06 Friday instead of Saturday for Labor Day. And, you know, that 07 way their racing schedule is set. Thank you very much.

MR. ELLIOTT: Mr. Liebau brought up the difference in 09 purses paid on overlap weekend. I don't know if that means 10 they will continue to have that high purse payment schedule 11 during that overlap weekend, which basically says that they'll run the allowance ones and allowance twos and the higher priced claimers. And I think probably in that number too is 14 the \$125,000 turf championship that they -- on that weekend 15 including in that -- on that Sunday or Monday.

I guess my point is, if we could just -- if they would leave the 32's, the 4's, and the 6250's alone and allow 18 those cheaper horses to continue to have those racing opportunities on the fairs until they wait to go down to 20 Fresno or whatever -- I don't even know that they need those 21 horses. It doesn't sound like they do, since their purses are 22 pretty high on that overlap weekend. I guess I'm just asking 23 the Board if they could possibly suggest that to Bay Meadows.

MR. HARRIS: This is the problem for all overlap as far 25 as -- part of the theory of overlap is that you're using

26 different pools of horses, where if Bay Meadows could agree to 27 not run claiming races below, say, 8,000 or so just during the 28 period of overlap, it would help the total circuit, I think. 0013

01

07

0.8

13

16

18

23

27

05

07

09

10

12

17

MR. LIEBAU: I think it's difficult for us to make any 02 sort of recommendation. I'll say that we will do our best, 03 but we have to fill our card. And there are some trainers 04 that just are not going to shift from either Bay Meadows or 05 Golden Gate to Sacramento. It is a two-hour thing, so, I 06 mean, to say that they're going to be foreclosed from running on those days I don't think is reasonable.

All I can say is in the past there hasn't been a 09 problem. In the past Cal Expo, at least in 2000, had a higher 10 field average during the overlap period then they had during 11 the unoverlap period. So, you know, it's worked in the past. 12 The 7.68 that they had during the overlap period, through coincidence, happens to be the amount of the average field 14 size for the entire California fair circuit. So the 15 statistics show that it hasn't been a problem.

MR. TOURTELOT: How exhaustively was this all discussed 17 last year at the race committee?

MR. LIEBAU: I suspect that to some extent it's been an 19 issue every day. I think we started out this discussion by 20 saying, you know, Chicken Little -- that Bay Meadows is going 21 to say the sky is falling, as I recall. So, yes, I'm sure 22 that it was.

MR. TOURTELOT: It just seems that we had all this 24 discussion with Chairman Niclaus' committee last 25 year. We're just having it over again. And we're going to 26 have it again Friday for next year.

MR. ELLIOTT: I just wanted to respond to the 7.68. I 28 didn't know that 7.68 was a great average field size. I 0014

01 didn't know that we were down to us being happy about 7.68, 02 and I'll stop on this issue. But the Board -- I would like to 03 discuss item number 2 and 3 on my letter that I sent to the 04 California Horse Racing Board whenever I may.

MR. TOURTELOT: You want to discuss 2 and 3 with 06 respect to this application?

David, did you say you want to discuss 2 and 3 08 with respect to this application?

MR. ELLIOTT: Yes, sir.

MR. TOURTELOT: You better have at it, because we're 11 about ready to rule on it.

MR. REAGAN: Commissioners. John Reagan, R-e-a-g-a-n, 13 CHRB staff. In regard to item 2 in David's letter of June 29, 14 he asked which code section enables this situation with Bay 15 Meadows and Pomona -- the code section is 19601H. It's 16 paragraph H of the code section that allows the northern and southern exchanging signals. So that's the code section that 18 he's looking for. And it does apply to Bay Meadows. It does 19 not apply to Del Mar and Hollywood Park. So we can certainly 20 assure him that is the appropriate code section.

21 For item number 3, this is another esoteric gray 22 area, but kind of an interesting one that he pointed out. I 23 have to give you a little bit of background on this. In 1994 24 they authorized the north/south signal as we spoke of earlier,

25 but before that they authorized the off-track wagering within 26 California. And one of the provisions of that code was that 27 satellite wagering facilities would receive two percent of 28 whatever they handled. There was some prorated days and what 0015

01 have you, but essentially it was two percent.

02

03

04

05

11

13

17

19

23

25

27

0016

03

10

11

Then, like I said, in 1994 when 19601 came into law, they have a special sentence, an interesting one, that talks about "for wagers on out-of-state and out-of-country races made within the association's or fair's enclosure, one 06 percent shall be distributed to the association or fair as a 07 satellite wagering commission." So now we were sitting there 08 with a new kind of handle. It was off track in a sense that 09 it was certainly taking a simulcast signal, but it was within 10 the enclosure of the host, which is generally on track.

So we suddenly had this on-track simulcast 12 interzonal-type wager, and the code pointed it out as slightly different, because it said only one percent shall be taken. 14 So we simply designated that as on-track interzonal simulcast. 15 So then what happens is going back to the '88 law -- the '87 law, when you're talking about the northern zone overlap, you talk about sharing the expenses 50/50. And then the question 18 is, the expenses that are generated by the on-track location, are they included in, as Mr. Elliott says, "the pot." And at 20 that point we decided the answer was no. This was on-track 21 handle even though it was simulcast interzone and it did 22 generate expenses.

The expense money, as he indicates here, that 24 money did not have to be put into the pot. It was not off-track simulcast expenses, it was kind of on track. that was the decision we made. And that's what we've been working with. So that's a little complicated, I know. It's a 28 little strange there, but it's one of those gray areas that we

01 came up with a decision and that's what we've been working 02 with. That's the best I can do.

MR. ELLIOTT: I wasn't in on those conversations. 04 Obviously I had a dog in the fight, because it's during the 05 four days of overlap. I would like to speak about item number 06 2 first that respectfully Mr. Reagan referred to in 19601.H. 07 19601H is current horse racing law. I have copies of it for 08 any of the commissioners that wish to have it. It talks 09 specifically: "during calendar periods when both the fair and thoroughbred associations conduct live racing, the amounts deducted under this section shall be distributed on any day 12 of overlap as provided in section 19607.5, except that the 13 applicable state license fee shall be at the rate specified 14 for nonfair meetings"; subdivision B, section 19607.

15 Those two sections, 19607.5 and 19605.7 only talk 16 about overlap in the northern zone. They have absolutely 17 nothing to do with the north/south signal exchange between 18 Bay Meadows and Pomona during that calendar period. 19 argument is, if Bay Meadows is allowed to take that reduced 20 license fee during that Pomona period, which last year alone 21 amounted to \$150,000, then Del Mar and Hollywood Park should 22 both -- both be able to take that reduced license fee when 23 they're running in the south and the fair is running in the

24 northern zone period.

2.5

03

11

16

18

22

2.4

25

26

27

0018

02

03

07

09

11

12

15

There is absolutely nothing -- they call it a gray 26 area, but if it was meant to be, it would be in law. If it was -- if they were allowed to take that reduced license fee, 27 28 it would be in law. It would be very specific that when Bay 0017

01 Meadows is running against Pomona, they would be able to take 02 a reduced license fee. My other issue on item number --

MR. ZIEGLER: I think we have to realize here that our 04 current mutual handle and distributions are audited on a daily 05 basis by the state auditor. And this has been for some number 06 of years past practice and interpretation of this section. 07 does not involve Cal Expo at all. It's part of a continuing 08 attack by Cal Expo on Bay Meadows. If, in fact, Hollywood 09 Park and Del Mar are not taking advantage of this section, 10 that is their business. The section is very clear. say I hope it's very clear, because, in fact, I had a lot to 12 do with drafting those sections. The past practice and the 13 interpretation by both the staff of the CHRB and the auditors 14 has been going on for years. And it doesn't involve Cal Expo 15 at all. Thank you.

MR. TOURTELOT: You know, I guess I shouldn't have had 17 a glass of wine last night, because I'm totally confused.

MR. ELLIOTT: It's meant to be confusing, Commissioner. 19 That was my point. If it was meant -- if it was legal it 20 would be very clear in law that they should be able to do it. 21 It would be very clear.

MR. TOURTELOT: I don't know what to do about this, 23 David. I don't understand this at all.

MR. REAGAN: One point here, the paragraph H that we're concerned with here, is in the code section 19601 that did authorize the north/south exchange of signals. So first of all we look at it in context of the overall section it's 28 within, and that was the one that was put on the books for the

01 specific purpose of exchanging the north/south signals.

So we feel in that context the Bay Meadows overlap with the Pomona fair -- thoroughbred fair overlap, now we're 04 within 601; that seems to be what we're talking about here. 05 Then specifically within paragraph H, it talks about the other 06 code sections, refers to those. So as best we could, we took the law that was presented to us and implemented it after 08 discussion with the various parties involved.

And Mr. Elliott is correct; at that time he was 10 not in the current position. And when we sat down with the associations and CARF and discussed how to put the pencil to these numbers, how to distribute these dollars, he was not a 13 player at that point, but we did come up with a little bit of 14 give-and-take-type of solution. And as indicated, when we work with computers and numbers, we can't have gray areas. 16 have to eventually make some kind of decision. And we come to some kind of compromise decision and we all walk away from the 18 table with a little give and take and we come up with an 19 answer we can all live with.

MR. TOURTELOT: I'm going to have to cut you off. 21 Staff recommends that we approve the application condition 22 upon receiving the additional information. And that assumes

23 that the staff has considered your letter and Mr. Reagan's comments. So you go ahead and say what you want. MR. ELLIOTT: I obviously have other legal avenues to 2.5 go through regarding the interpretation of this law. I did 27 want to mention one thing. As I stated in my letter, this is 28 not an attack on Bay Meadows, if you will. This is basically 0019 01 whatever is right and whatever is fair. If the Board is going 02 to allow Bay Meadows to continue to do this, then the Board must consider a request by Del Mar and Hollywood Park. If they choose to have another 850, \$900,000 in their pocket 05 during their meets, the Board must consider that as well. 06 I believe there was an application to this Board a 07 few years ago from Del Mar -- I'm not sure -- asking to be 08 able to apply the same law to their meet, and I believe it was turned down. But the point to my letter is, I'm not attacking 09 10 Bay Meadows. I'm a state employee. We have to make 40 11 million dollars in license fees every year. All I'm saying is 12 everybody should be paying their fair share. What should be 13 good for one, should be good for everybody. 14 MR. WOOD: Mr. Reagan, how long have we interpreted 15 section 19601H in which you've just described? It's been 16 quite some years that we've been implementing that procedure. MR. REAGAN: Yes. It will be seven years next week. 17 18 MR. TOURTELOT: Why is this coming up now, Dave, after 19 seven years? 20 MR. ELLIOTT: I just found it. 21 MR. TOURTELOT: Any more comments from the audience? 22 The chair will entertain a motion to approve the 23 application as submitted subject to the additional information 24 with the parties. 25 MR. HARRIS: I would like to see -- I don't know if we 26 have to make it part of the approval, but Bay Meadows did conduct some good-faith effort to try to put on races that 28 don't conflict with the lower level claiming races that Fresno 0020 01 and Sacramento would be trying to -- I'm not talking about--02 maybe 6250's and 5's and 4's during that period would be 03 helpful to not have those taken away from the fairs. 0.4MR. TOURTELOT: Ready to make a motion. (LANDSBURG/MORETTI) 05 (Motion was unanimously carried) 06 MR. TOURTELOT: Passed. The next item on the agenda is 07 item 3, discussion and action by the Board on the application 08 for license to conduct a horse racing meeting of the Humboldt 09 County Fair at Ferndale, commencing August 9 through August 10 2001. 11 MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. The Humboldt 12 County Fair has filed this application to race from August 9th 13 through August 19th, which is ten days, which is the same 14 amount of days that they raced in the year 2000. The fair is 15 proposing to race 74 races, which is three races less than they ran last year. They will be racing Thursday through 17 Monday the first week and Wednesday through Sunday the second 18 week; six races on Monday, seven races on Wednesday and 19 Thursday, nine races on Friday and Sunday, and ten races on 20 Saturday. 21 The number of races programmed for each breed will

```
22 be determined conditioned upon the number of available horses.
23 Their first post time is 1:55 p.m. on Saturday and Sunday.
24 They will have 2:25 p.m. post on Monday, Wednesday and
    Thursday and a 3:25 post on Friday. Their wagering program
26 will use all CHRB rules. The analysis indicates there are
27 some missing items. We have received the thoroughbred
28 sign-off for Humboldt. I have received that. And to date we
0021
01 are missing the fire clearance and the horsemen's agreement
    for the quarter horse and the Appaloosa. Staff would
03 recommend that the Board approve the application contingent
04 upon us receiving that missing information.
05
           MR. LICHT: Jackie, on page 9-A3, aren't those the
06 stewards, not the placing judges, or are those serving the
07 same function?
80
           MR. WOOD: Commissioner, the only exception to these
09 placing judges being the stewards at Ferndale -- it's been
10 that way for years. It's very tight quarters, limited amount
11 of equipment. And in this particular instance the Ferndale
12 has the steward service which we approved that and adopted
13 that for many years.
           MR. LICHT: And there's never been a problem with it?
14
           MR. WOOD: We've never had a problem with that.
15
16
           MR. TOURTELOT: And they pay the additional income for
17 that?
           MR. WOOD: No, sir.
18
19
           MR. LICHT: You'd be setting a precedent.
20
           MR. WOOD: It's been going on for quite some time. We
21 haven't accepted any other exceptions. If you attend a race
22 at Ferndale, you would see that's quite acceptable.
           MR. LICHT: Okay. Why on the first page do we have a
24 $6 minimum purchase of a voucher on wagering? That's the only
25 place we do that too; right?
26
           MR. HARRIS: I don't see why that's necessary.
27
           MR. WOOD: Mr. Corby, why is there a $6 minimum for
28 self-service machines at Ferndale?
0022
0.1
           MR. KORBY: Chris Korby, California Authority of Racing
02 Fairs, on behalf of Humboldt County Fair. The question is $6
03 minimum on the voucher purchase?
04
           MR. HARRIS: Yeah. That's all exotics, I quess, but I
05 don't see why you need to do that.
06
           MR. KORBY: That's a decision by the Fair and they've
07
    decided to do that. I can communicate the desire of the Board
08
    to have that otherwise, if that's the case.
09
           MR. HARRIS: It just seems kind of confusing if one
10 wants to make a bet and the thing's not working. I don't
11 know. It just seems like something that's not really
12 necessary.
13
           MR. KORBY: Typically that minimum voucher purchase is
14 lower.
15
           MR. TOURTELOT: Any other questions?
16
           MR. LICHT: I would prefer you communicate it unless
17 there's some other reason they don't want it that way.
18
           MR. CORBY: On behalf of the Fair, I'll represent that
```

MR. HARRIS: It is nice to see they're doing early bird

19 that would be lowered.

20

```
wagering, because most of the Fairs don't do that, do they?
           MR. KORBY: That's correct.
22
23
           MR. TOURTELOT: Any other questions from -- questions
24 or comments from the audience?
25
                The chair will entertain a motion to approve the
26 application for the Humboldt County Fair. (LICHT/MORETTI)
27
                (Motion was unanimously carried)
2.8
           MR. TOURTELOT: Next item on the agenda is the item 4.
0023
01
    Discussion and action by the Board on the application for
02 license to conduct a horse race meeting of the Los Angeles
03 County Fair at Fairplex, commencing September 7th through
04 September 24th.
05
           MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. The
06 Los Angeles County Fair has filed this application to race
07 from September 7th through September 24th for 18 days, which
    is the same amount of days that they raced in the year 2000.
09
    They are proposing to race a total of 216 races, two races
10 more than they ran in 2000. They will be racing 18 straight
11 days with 12 races per day. They will have a first post time
12 of 1:00 p.m. daily. Their wagering program will utilize CHRB
13 rules. Early wagering is scheduled for 8:30 a.m. at Hollywood
14 Park. The analysis indicates that we still have missing
15 information from this application. That information has been
16 received. We've received the horseman's agreement for the
17 Appaloosa and quarter horse. And we've also received the fire
18 clearance. The staff would recommend that the Board approve
19 the application.
20
           MR. TOURTELOT: Any comments or questions from the
21 commission?
22
           MR. LANDSBURG: Just a quick note. It seems to me if
23 they're moving down to 1:00 p.m., they'd still be running 12
24 races -- aren't they going to be running -- I don't remember.
25
           MR. HARRIS: They've got lights.
26
           MR. LANDSBURG: That's what I didn't remember.
           MR. TOURTELOT: Any comments from the audience?
27
28
           MR. LANDSBURG: I'm sorry. I had one other question
0024
01 when I read it. What does "the right to have maximum
02 discretion over exotic wagering mean? What discretion are
03 they asking for? I have no idea what that request means.
           MS. WAGNER: Is there a representative from --
05
         You're retired but maybe you can come forward and give us
06 a hint.
07
           NEIL O'DWYER: What was the question?
08
           MR. LANDSBURG: What is the right to request maximum
09 discretion on exotic wagering? I don't quite understand the
10 request. What does "maximum discretion" mean?
11
           NEIL O'DWYER: I don't have the slightest idea.
           MR. HARRIS: I would guess that it means that forms --
12
13 like things that you're already doing now, but you're not
14 going to do any twin trifectas?
15
           NEIL O'DWYER: I don't think we have ever
16 requested any deviation on wagering from what was in our
17 license application.
18
           MR. WOOD: I don't recall any change in exotics that
19 we've been dealing with you either. I guess this is a
```

```
20 standard format that you put in this application.
           NEIL O'DWYER: It's not anything that we added
2.1
22 this year. Neil O'Dwyer, Fairplex.
23
           MR. TOURTELOT: Thank you, Neil.
24
           MR. HARRIS: On the off-site stabling, is there a
25 different system at the fairs, or do you accumulate money
26 every day effectively to pay for off-site stabling? Because
27 it shows the total cost for your off-site stabling for the
28 meeting is 100,000, which would only be 5,000 a day or so.
0025
01 And I thought the cost was more than that going to Santa Anita
02 and Hollywood Park.
03
           MR. SEDER: Mike Seder. Commissioners, the
04 reimbursement is for vanning during that period of time.
05 not for stabling.
06
           MR. HARRIS: Is there any stabling money going out or
07
    just --
08
           MR. SEDER: There's no stabling money at Fairplex. I
09 think there will be at other facilities. So what we have in
10 here would represent the reimbursement on the vanning back and
11 forth.
           MR. HARRIS: While Fairplex is running are the other
12
13 tracks getting -- like Santa Anita and Hollywood Park, getting
14 some sort of a stipend for providing their stable?
15
           MR. SEDER: Yes.
           MR. HARRIS: So that's coming from Pomona or from the
16
17 fund?
18
           MR. SEDER: It's coming from the fund.
19
           MR. HARRIS: The fund's generating money, but it's not
20 really --
21
           MR. TOURTELOT: Any other questions from anyone in the
22 audience?
23
                The chair will entertain a motion to approve the
24 application for Los Angeles County Fair.
25
                (Motion was unanimously carried) (GRANZELLA/HARRIS)
26
           MR. TOURTELOT: Next item is 5, discussion and action
27 by the Board on the application for license to conduct a horse
28 racing meeting of Capitol Racing at Sacramento, commencing
0026
01 September 28th through February 22nd, 2002.
02
           MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff. The Capitol
03 Racing Association proposes to race from September 28th
04 through February 24th. They will be racing -- they're
    proposing to race 84 nights with 989 races or 11.8
06 races per night. If sufficient horses are available the
07 Association is requesting to increase this to 96 nights
08 and 1,109 races or 11.6 races per night.
09
                They're proposing to race four nights per week,
10 Wednesday through Saturday, if sufficient horses are
11
   available. Capitol's also proposing to add 12 Sunday night
    race nights in the months of December, January, and February,
13
    which would bring their racing to five nights per week.
14 first live post is 5:35 p.m. daily, and 6:45 p.m. post on
15 October the 19th. Their wagering program would use a
16 combination of both CHRB rules and the RCI rules.
                There are still some items outstanding on this
17
18 application. They include the horsemen's agreement, fire
```

19 clearance, stake schedule, and the name of the racing 20 veterinarian. We have received notice that the lease 21 agreement has been completed and that will be forwarded to the 22 CHRB office by Monday. The staff would recommend that the 23 Board not approve Capitol's request to race five days a week 24 until they are able to show that they do have sufficient 25 horses available for that request. And we are recommending 26 that the Board approve the application upon us receiving the 27 additional information missing in the application. 28 MS. MORETTI: Jackie, what is sufficient? Is there a 0027

01 number attached to that?

MS. WAGNER: There's not a specific number to my 03 knowledge, but in terms of the field size and the number of 04 horses that are available I'm sure that would be something we 05 would look at before granting that request.

MR. HOROWITZ: Alan Horowitz, Capitol Racing 07 Association. The reason that we had requested these 08 additional days was one, because in conversations with our 09 racing secretary and his communications with horsemen around 10 the country and in Canada, there is opportunity to recruit 11 additional horses for our program. Our program has stabilized 12 now. For those of you who have been on the Board a couple of years, you know this is the first year that all of the racing 14 dates for harness have been at Cal Expo. In the past they've 15 been at Los Alamitos January, February and March when there 16 was no quarter horse racing. Now we race with quarter horses. 17 They race at Los Al, we race at Cal Expo during the same 18 period of time.

We've stabilized the program. The program is 20 growing each year. Every first top-tier harness racing 21 program in the country races five days a week. And the 22 horsemen who race at those meets expect that they can get all 23 of their horses raced. The unique thing about the standard 24 bred horse is that our horses -- most of our horses race every 25 week, unlike the thoroughbreds or the quarter horses or the 26 Arabians. So when someone is contemplating shipping out of California, they look to see and they try to convince their 28 owners that there's racing opportunities that's sufficient out 0028

01 here.

02

05

11

17

0.2

06

13

19

I think what we're essentially asking for is if we 03 only have horses sufficient to race four nights a week, we 04 will continue to race four nights a week. When we -- instead of having to come back to the Board and have this kind of a 06 hearing and wait for that moment, we'd like to be able to say 07 when we're recruiting -- and our meet, by the way, ends next 08 week. So it's important that we get this information out to 09 our horsemen as well to buy additional horses. If we can 10 advertise that we have the option to go five days, if sufficient horses are available, that will help us in 12 recruiting. If we get to a month before that first set of 13 four optional Sundays, and we see and we talk to the racing 14 secretary, the stewards who see the entries every day -- the 15 racing board is across the street from us -- Roy and Roy and 16 John Reagan, that we have not enough horses.

Our definition of sufficient horses is we don't

18 want to see horses coming back and racing two days a week. 19 believe horses should race one day a week. They're 20 comfortable racing one day a week. We think you don't have enough horses when you have to depend on horses to come back 21 22 again to fill races.

23 Our format in a five-day program would be 10 races 24 on Wednesday and Thursday and Sunday and 12 to 13 races on 25 Friday and Saturday. We are now conducting some days where we 26 have 14 and 15 races. We had a five-day week that was a 27 holiday week a couple of weeks ago, but it happened to be a 28 five day because the holiday fell on a Monday. So we raced a 0029

01 four day, a five day, and then a four day. And in that 02 five-day week we had 58 races, so 58 races even with the 03 horses that we have on the grounds.

04

1.0

12

13

17

19

26

I think this is our opportunity to move into the 05 next level and recruit additional horses. Again, if we do not 06 have sufficient horses, we're not interested in going to a 07 fourth day. If the fourth day will accommodate all the horses 08 that we have comfortably that's our goal and our expectations 09 would be satisfied.

MR. TOURTELOT: Alan, in response to your application, 11 there's an opposition from Los Alamitos. You've read that? MR. HOROWITZ: Yes.

MR. TOURTELOT: And in that opposition Rick Henson 14 states that the night industry has traditionally raced 15 successfully on a four-night-per-week program format for many 16 years. What's your response to that?

MR. HOROWITZ: Well, if you look at say this year and 18 last year, that's probably the case, except that Los Al, in their application to race harness in the year 2000 that was 20 approved by the Board, had an optional fifth day of sufficient 21 horses in that license application. That license application 22 was approved by the Board at Los Al. I think they ran four to 23 six weeks of those five-day weeks and then decided they didn't 24 have sufficient horses to continue for the balance of the 25 meet.

So in terms of tradition we've had three-day 27 weeks, four-day weeks, five-day weeks, and the one thing I can 28 tell you that's consistent throughout all of those years is if 0030

01 we have a certain number of horses we race those days. If we 02 don't, we don't try to stretch a program. But we're concerned about -- and we're sensitive to all of the discussions that 04 you people have had with the thoroughbred organizations. Our 05 fans want full fields, and it's not good when we put a 06 four-horse or five-horse race or six-horse race on. And so we 07 are sensitive to that as well. And if we can't package a 08 comfortable five-day program we won't run a five-day program.

09 MR. LICHT: How many horses do you have on the grounds 10 now?

MR. HOROWITZ: We have about 800, 850, somewhere in 11 12 there. And we have some at Greenbriar, a training center 13 close by in Sacramento.

MR. LICHT: You say the minimum is 930?

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. HOROWITZ: Well, that's about all the stalls there 15 16 are.

17 MR. LICHT: 990? 18 MR. HOROWITZ: There are 990, but some of the stalls 19 are used for storage and other purposes, so they're not 20 available to race horses. 21 MR. LICHT: You say you don't want a four, five-horse 22 field. What are you looking for an, eight-horse field? 2.3 MR. HOROWITZ: That would be wonderful. Last Friday 24 and Saturday night we had 15 races each night, and we had 130 25 horses racing on one night and 123 on another. 26 MR. HARRIS: When you have 15 races, how late do you 27 get over? 28 MR. HOROWITZ: It depends. We start at 5:30. 0031 01 Usually -- it depends. Friday nights are a little slower 02 because when the thoroughbred meets are also running on Friday 03 night we have to space our races between their races. And our imports and some of the thoroughbred imports and some of the 05 quarter horse imports also come. So we'll get out on a 06 typical Friday night -- I'd say about 11:15, and on a Saturday 07 night a little earlier, because it's just the quarters and the 08 harness that are out for the bulk of the evening. 09 We can put on a race every 20 to 22 minutes, a 10 live race. And since statutorily we're only able to take six 11 signals when we're not overlapped, so on nights that we 12 overlap with quarters we each get six races. So it's not like 13 we have three cards to have to worry about. 14 MR. HARRIS: One issue that's come up is the racing 15 being able to fund from the license fees and the drug testing 16 and the -- paying the stewards and some of the CHRB costs. Is this meet able to do that with the level you've got? 17 MR. HOROWITZ: We've paid all of our license fees. 18 19 not privy to the Board's information with regard to sufficient 20 funds that are generated. 21 MR. HARRIS: Has the Board looked at that? 22 MR. HOROWITZ: We actually -- and I don't know how many 23 of the Board members know this -- we actually have a blood 24 testing program that's conducted by Capitol in conjunction with the horseman's association for milk shaking the horses. 26 That's conducted at our expense. And we've ejected some 27 individuals for violations of the so-called black box. 28 MR. HARRIS: I was just thinking if you had 15 races, 0032 01 if you had twice the drug testing costs that some meets might 02 have and I was just concerned that we're -- I'm supportive in 03 part of what you're trying to do. 04 MR. HOROWITZ: If there were additional surcharges that 05 would be necessary we would be glad to pay. 06 MR. WOOD: We do realize that. And we are currently 07 going through the analyzation of the fair circuit right now, 08 but the drug testing at the race meets are covering our funds 09 and our funds are well accounted for. 10 MR. HARRIS: Each meet is supposed to --11 MR. LICHT: Have you done your stall outs for this meet 12 yet? 13 MR. HOROWITZ: We have the stall out, but we haven't 14 been able to advertise nationally. That was the reason this 15 license application was put on this agenda as opposed to being

16 put over a month. Our race meet ends on next Saturday. And 17 so it'll give us an opportunity to get to all of our horsemen 18 as well as get into the trade papers. There is a program in Alberta, Canada that is -- the scuttlebutt is that there's 19 20 going to be some cutback in their racing opportunity. And 21 we've done real well in the past recruiting out of Canada. 2.2 MR. WOOD: One thing I would point out is that this 23 application does contain dates past December 26th, which 24 currently have not been allocated to the race committee. 25 Historically we have always used that cutoff date for 26 applications, but this is a unique situation in that this 27 year -- the second year we'll have year-round harness racing 28 at one location. So you are -- if you do approve this 0033 01 application or approving the dates passed the December 26th 02 allocation. So that's something you need as part of the 03 condition --04 MR. TOURTELOT: The Board does have the discretion to 05 go beyond that. 06 MR. WOOD: That's exactly right. 07 MR. LANDSBURG: Does anyone know what the impact on 08 Los Al -- the running opposed would now have? MR. HOROWITZ: Well, Rick is here so I'm sure he could 09 10 speak. 11 MR. HENSON: Mr. Chairman, members of the commission, 12 Rick Henson with Los Alamitos Race Course. We did submit a 13 letter dated July 5th to the race date committee in opposition 14 of the Sunday racing for harness. Traditionally harness is 15 raced unopposed on Wednesday night and quarter horses have 16 raced unopposed on Sunday night. It's been very successful 17 for both of us. It certainly will have an economic impact on 18 us if they do race at the same day that we do on Sunday. 19 We're not opposed to them racing all year long or as many 20 weeks as they can, but we would like to keep the Sunday 21 evening for ourselves. 22 MR. LANDSBURG: We still don't know what impact that's 23 going to have. MR. HENSON: Their operation is similar to ours. 2.4 25 think when you have two breeds racing at night there's more 26 handle generated overall between the two racing associations, 27 but each operation probably doesn't do as much as they would 28 do if they were alone. From a purse situation -- horseman's 0034 01 purses, when one entity is up as opposed to two, they're 02 allowed to bring in 12 out-of-state races. The operator --03 the moneys -- the commissions from those 12 states with the 04 racing association. The purse is generated from those 12 is 05 split 50/50 between the two breeds. That was the way of 06 dealing with the overlap situation versus the nonoverlap 07 situation. From our situation, we elected to try to go 80 09 Wednesday nights to try to have one night a week where harness 10 patrons could go to the satellite and indeed -- sort of the 11 run of the satellite. You know, if on Friday nights there 12 were thoroughbred signals up in harness signals and guarter 13 horse signals, it is probably very difficult to figure out 14 what's going on. There's a lot of hubbub. And some of your

15 own patrons in a particular breed might not come out because 16 they don't have as much access to the amenities in the 17 facility as they might on another night. Our Wednesday nights are more costly, because 18 19 we're up alone. You save more when there are two signals. 20 When two signals go into a satellite, the satellite facility 21 makes more money. There are more patrons. There are more 22 programs sold. There's a larger handle. There's more 23 concession money. So I think from the satellite standpoint 24 the satellites would all benefit from the overlap. But, you know, in the night industry -- we're not 26 talking like overlapping on the day industry where it's a much 27 bigger industry and you're talking about hundreds of thousands 28 of dollars. We're dealing with sort of a small cottage 0035 01 industry, really. I mean, we're growing and we're aspiring to 02 bigger and better things, but to those of you who understand 03 the thoroughbreds a little bit better than the standard breds, 04 everything is scaled down. 05 MR. NEUMEISTER: May I? David Neumeister, 06 N-e-u-m-e-i-s-t-e-r. I'm the president of the California 07 Harness Horseman's Association. What Mr. Henson says is true 08 in terms of the -- in short-term history, in terms of the 09 four-day weeks where they race Sundays and we race Wednesdays. 10 However, if you go back further, not very much further 11 historically, I think it's important for the Board to 12 understand that as Los Alamitos is objecting to one night a 13 week of overlap, they are doing so as they are about to embark 14 on two months of completely unoverlapped racing during the 15 months of August and September. We don't race at all. that Los Alamitos quarter horses have taken the harness 17 traditional dates at Los Alamitos Race Course, I don't believe 18 we have one full week of unoverlapped racing. So in essence, we are asking for one possible day 19 20 a week of overlap with the quarter horses on Sunday, if we're 21 lucky enough to get sufficient horses from Canada and back 22 east. Remember these horses have to come a long way to get 23 here, and we need to entice them to do that. And in this 24 case, the horsemen agree that bigger fields are better for the 25 program in the long run. I really believe that the bigger the 26 fields are, the more the handle is, and the better the 27 horseman do. 2.8 In addition, the nights that we're asking for, 0036 01 those Sunday nights, if we have enough horses, are during 02 dates when quarter horses didn't even used to race until this 03 year. They're during the period of our traditional 04 unoverlapped days at Los Alamitos. So not to put it too 05 dramatically, the Los Alamitos objection to me is somewhat 06 akin to the criminal defendant who goes into court after 07 killing both of his parents and asking for leniency because 08 he's now an orphan. 09 MR. LANDSBURG: That's a little bit farfetched.

MR. NEUMEISTER: We are only asking for a possible one

 $\operatorname{MS.}$ MORETTI: This whole thing is a new experiment this

11 day extra a week during the period when quarter horses never

10

13

12 even raced until this year.

14 year with Los Al doing its thing and Sacramento strictly with 15 the harness. And actually coming from Sacramento I have been 16 rather encouraged by what's going on up in Sacramento. And I think it's been very healthy. And I can't wait till next year 17 18 to see what the numbers actually are. But I would actually be 19 inclined to entertain this post just because of what I've seen 20 up there. If it doesn't work, it doesn't work. 2.1 MR. HARRIS: I think it's healthy for them to go five 22 days if they've got the horses. It just seems like what 23 they're saying is basically -- it's not going to be that much 24 difference. 2.5 MR. TOURTELOT: Any other questions or comments? 2.6 Then the chair will call for a vote -- for a 27 motion, rather, as to allowing Cal Expo to run the five days 28 if they have sufficient horses. 0037 01 MR. WOOD: And that is if the decision is made 02 administratively? 03 MR. TOURTELOT: That's kind of it, we don't know what 04 the number is. 05 MR. HOROWITZ: We'll be glad to meet with the Board's 06 staff to review the numbers as soon as we come back in 07 September and give them a heads up of where we are. 08 we're not trying to do this in the dark. MR. HARRIS: Obviously you could run 15 races four days 09 10 a week and it's not going to be any different than running X 11 number of races five days a week. 12 MR. HOROWITZ: Some of our employees would rather do 13 five days and fewer races. And we've made some concessions to various unions when we go to these long racing programs. 14 15 MR. WOOD: And you have limited your races as per your 16 application over the last several years? 17 MR. HOROWITZ: When the Board's staff has had concerns 18 with regard to the number of races and the late hours that 19 some of those racing cards would go, we have had ongoing 20 discussions outside the scope of the contract that would include agreements and understandings with regard to limiting 21 22 it. 23 MR. TOURTELOT: As I've often said when I came on 24 the Board eight years ago, harness racing was heading 25 north; far north of Sacramento, Washington and other 26 places; and you've done a really good job in what you've 27 done in the last eight years. 28 So we are going to entertain a motion with respect 0038 01 to the application for the five days based upon the discussion 02 we had. 03 MR. LANDSBURG: So moved. 04 (Motion was carried unanimously) 05 MS. MORETTI: In that regard can we get a report 06 obviously from Los Al and from Capitol Racing saying -- since this is the first year of experiment, a special report to see 08 just how the experiment has fared, where we are in terms of 09 the number of horses and dollars taken, et cetera, and the 10 overlap? 11 MR. TOURTELOT: Would that be appropriate for one of 12 your subcommittees?

13 MS. MORETTI: That's not quite under mine. MR. HARRIS: I think if we get something -- you know, 14 15 how they have those split up, the different satellites, if 16 it's working or not. 17 MR. TOURTELOT: Thank you, again. Next item, item 6. 18 Did we vote in -- yeah, we voted. 19 Discussion and action by the Board on the proposed 20 regulatory amendment to CHRB Rule 1691 - colors and number, to 21 permit advertising on jockey attire, owner silks and track 22 saddle cloths. 23 Let me just say at the outset that a year ago this 24 came on the agenda. I think we had a 45-day notice period for 25 discussion, and the matter was tabled only because it turned 26 out that -- whether it's true or not -- I don't want to get 27 into an argument about whether Magna was privy to the 28 agreement that it worked out between certain associations and 0039 01 the TOC. Magna represented here that they hadn't even see it. 02 We said we gave it to Magna. I don't want to put my dog in 03 that fight, but the fact is we tabled it so that it could get 04 resolved and you would come back to us and we would move 05 forward. And there's some misunderstanding. 06 This motion today -- this proposed action 07 today is the CHRB is to amend its rule so that the tracks 08 and the jockeys and the owners would be free to enter into 09 such an agreement, whatever they want. That's not our 10 responsibility; it's for you all to come up with an agreement 11 that's acceptable to all of you. The only regulation we would 12 have is to the content -- the stewards approve the content of 13 the advertiser. So that's what we're here today to do, to approve this amendment so that you can go forward and you can 15 have a year period in which to try this and see how it works. 16 And it would be the first in the country, to my knowledge, 17 that would be doing this. So any comments? 18 MR. BROAD: Mr. Chairman and members. Barry Broad on 19 behalf of the Jockeys Guild. I know that sometimes in this 20 industry we're addicted to the notion that we're coming up to 21 the next panacea, and we don't have any illusions here that 22 this could work. It could work modestly or it could work in a 23 major way. And it kind of depends on market conditions and 24 how the relationships work out. We do greatly appreciate your 25 consideration of this and your hopeful approval of it. Give 26 us a chance and we'll see if we can make it work. Thank you. 27 MR. TOURTELOT: That's the whole idea is that we would 28 free you of the regulation so that you could then go forward 0040 01 with an agreement. 02 MR. VAN DE KAMP: John Van de Kamp, TOC. 03 Our Board supports the adoption and supports the idea that it 04 be evaluated after the first full year's worth of experience. MR. TOURTELOT: The Board is not approving or passing 05 06 on an agreement between the interested parties. We're just 07 giving them the ability to go forward and have that agreement 08 and to implement it. I think there might have been some 09 confusion in the past about that. That's really not our jurisdiction and responsibility. 10 11 Any questions or discussions from any of the Board 12 members?

13

15

16

17

19

0041

02

05 06

09

11

13

19

2.5

27

0042

06

Then the chair will entertain a motion to approve 14 the amendment to CHRB Rule 1691.

(Motion was unanimously carried)

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$. TOURTELOT: Congratulations. And I hope it works out. Anyway number 7 would be a report by the California 18 marketing committee.

MS. MORETTI: Mr. Chairman, since I have requested that 20 this be on the agenda this month, let me just preface it. think now that we have a full complement of the Board, the 22 last -- last year when the marketing committee gave a report, 23 I think there were only a couple of us here that were on the 24 Board then. So I wanted to do it in that light in terms of 25 educating the new Board what the marketing is all about. So 26 if you could start off, Jack, by giving us a little bit of the 27 origin, how it started and the fact that it's a body with a 28 specified -- what is it, 6, 7 million dollars, that and also

01 sunsets, and explain that to the Board, I'd appreciate it. MR. LIEBAU: My name is Jack Liebau. I'm the chairman 03 of the California Marketing Committee. The California 04 Marketing Committee consists of six members, two from the track, one from the north, one from the south, two people from the fairs, and two members from the horsemen; so one-third, 07 one-third, one-third. The origin of it is that prior to the 08 enactment of the law, the promotional funds were distributed differently in the northern zone than in the central and 10 southern zone.

In the northern zone, the money went to the 12 individual satellites for expenditure by them. And one of the problems perceived was was that there wasn't really enough 14 funding for each individual satellite for them to have an 15 impact on that particular market. In the south, the money was 16 distributed to the tracks and the tracks used the money to 17 have a unified program to support the marketing efforts of the 18 individual satellites.

As is often the case in racing, even though we 20 were diametrically opposed to ways of distributing the funds, 21 people in the north weren't happy and people in the south 22 weren't happy -- and out of that came the idea that there 23 would be a statewide marketing committee. It generates about 24 six million dollars that's distributed.

The main marketing media that's used is that of 26 the NTRA, although there are exceptions to that. The basic money is divided between super charging big days at the track, 28 the underlying thought being that it's -- in order to capture

01 a racing fan it's easier to do it with a live experience than 02 with an off-track experience. Notwithstanding that, there are 03 awareness programs that are run. These are commercials, T.V., radio, or whatever throughout the year to support the 04 05 marketing efforts at the individual satellites.

Some of the outlying satellites are given X number 07 of dollars, because it's not possible to market to an outlying 08 satellite, for instance Anderson or Eureka, in a statewide 09 program, so there's money that's distributed to them. On the 10 fair circuit there is what we call fair trials, where X number 11 of dollars is given to each fair to run a promotion on a 12 particular day to attract fans to the racing. And the idea 13 here is to try to move people from the ferris wheels to the 14 racetrack.

15

17

19

2.7 28

0043

07

12

15

19

25

26

One of the main objectives to begin with was that 16 we wanted to do some database marketing or direct mail. We thought that would be the most economic way of advertising the 18 racing on a statewide basis. And initially the effort was going to be to develop a database. As time went on, we not only have done this database, but are in the process of doing 21 wagering -- tracking wagering by individual customers and 22 rewarding them through point systems and premiums. It's sort 23 of like a frequent flyer program.

I am having Shannon McDonald, who is our staff 25 member, distribute to you an executive summary that is --26 pretty much follows what was previously submitted to the Board. But the major change has been the development of this -- what we call the Golden State Rewards Program. And I

01 think that's been really our main effort. That's where the 02 growth is. And what I'd like to do today is have T. Patrick 03 Stubbs, who's acted as a consultant to the marketing 04 committee, give you an explanation as to what the Golden State 05 Rewards Program is. And with that I'd like to introduce T. 06 Pat Stubbs.

MR. STUBBS: Good morning, Mr. Chairman, fellow 08 commissioners. The California Marketing Committee created the 09 Golden States Rewards Network to reward and recognize its 10 frequent horse racing fans for their loyal patronage of 11 California's race tracks and the satellite wagering facilities throughout the Golden State. This loyal patron program has 13 been rewarding patrons with merchandise, food, wagering 14 vouchers, programs, and other items as well.

The CMC's goal is to attract and retain horse 16 racing fans by making horse racing more fun and rewarding for 17 horse players of all types throughout California. To join the 18 Golden State Rewards Network -- and we're going to hand out a couple things here so that you can get an 20 up-close-and-personal feel for this -- the patrons simply fill 21 out an application that looks like this (indicating) and 22 submit it to a customer service representative at any horse 23 racing facility in the state of California. The free 24 membership is immediately activated. And the players can earn one point for every dollar wager, along with an admission bonus.

27 The program is simple to use. The members just 28 swipe their GSRN card through the designated slot in the tote 0044

01 machines before wagering and accumulate points for the prizes. 02 In June of 2000 the California Marketing Committee 03 inaugurated the GSRN pilot program at six race tracks and satellite facilities in California, including the Alameda 05 County Fair, Pleasanton, Golden Gate, Del Mar, Surf Side Race 06 Place, and Bay Meadows.

07 Quantitative and qualitative research was 08 conducted following the pilot. The research showed that the patrons appreciated the customer recognition program and were 10 excited about the prizes that they were receiving. They asked 11 us for some additional things and we responded as well. the end of the pilot program in November of 2000, 4,024 players had enrolled in the Golden State Rewards Network and 14 accumulated more than 12-and-a-half million points. 15 California Marketing Committee proceeded and began the 16 transition from pilot program to a full-fledged statewide 17 launch, beginning in Southern California, March 2001. Since 18 the Golden State Rewards Network launched in the L.A. basin, 19 the response to the frequent players program has been 20 tremendous.

The membership has grown rapidly. And enrollment 22 reports as of this morning show a total of 19,101 members to 23 date. In fact, an average of about 100 new patrons enroll 24 each day. And yesterday was a tremendous day here at Del Mar. 25 We were staying into the evening enrolling new patrons out of both the Turf Club and the grandstands. The total number of 27 redeemable points earned today is 93,274,389 and increasing 28 rapidly.

0045 01

06

11

15

17

18 19

26

21

Those numbers represent roughly five-and-a-half 02 percent of the total attendance at the State of California 03 facilities since April 1. And about 8.2 percent of the handle 04 in the state of California has been awarded points in this 05 program.

All of the California racetrack facilities are up 07 and running. Members are earning points at each of those 08 facilities and oftentimes multiple facilities on a single day. 09 It not only rewards patrons statewide, but it also rewards 10 patrons across California's breeds.

Through licensing agreements in cooperation with 12 the quarter horsemen, Los Alamitos, and Capitol Harness, 13 patrons can be rewarded at night as well. Since the 14 beginning, your Golden State Rewards Network team has emphasized the need to reach out and communicate not only to 16 the patrons, but to the California horse racing community as well. We continually visit each racing facility throughout the state of California from Anderson down to the border.

We continually visit them for training purposes 20 and to discuss how they are using the program to better 21 facilitate customer service and promote horse racing. In 22 fact, tomorrow the Rewards Network will be hosting a marketing 23 conference with marketing representatives and facility managers from all over the state, flying in to sit down and 25 discuss the program for later this year and plans for 2002.

It's our goal to continue to provide additional 27 value for participation in the program and in turn to enhance 28 the patron's racing experience. The system provides the 0046

01 California Marketing Committee and the individual facilities 02 with important demographic data. As you can see in the form there we're asking for demographic data like gender, age. well, patrons are telling us about their leisure activities which they participate in. This information will become 06 useful in the future for fans of horse racing.

07 The software written by the California Horse 08 Racing Resource Information Management System Program

09 automatically notifies facility managers and their customer 10 representatives when a VIP patron is present in their facility 11 through pages and E-mail. Then the appropriate considerations can be taken to take care of these patrons. In May the 13 California Marketing Committee and the GSRN team added an 14 exciting new incentive to the program: the National Thoroughbred Racing Association's E-mail newsletter. On a 16 monthly basis this NTRA newsletter is now sent out to each of the GSRN members and encouraging their participation in the 17 18 sport, both in northern California and southern California. 19 It is also used to promote upcoming events and the 20 sale of merchandise and other items at the race tracks. Last 21 month the data store was added to the system. This data store 22 will be used by the CARF facilities mainly for their fair 23 trials. During the fair trials we had the opportunity to 24 gather up the names of those folks that are going from the 25 ferris wheel over to the racetrack. And in the past we 26 haven't fully exploited the use of this information to bring 27 these people back to the racetrack. 28 Now that data can be entered into a central 0047 01 database that the GSRN is managing and can be used for 02 future promotion of horse racing. With the network in 03 place we also have the opportunity of implementing for the 04 first time a real-time statewide handicapping 05 championship, the Golden State Handicapping Championship, 06 if you will. We'll be talking about this in the future, 07 and sitting down with representatives from racetracks all 08 over the state to talk about where this might take place. 09 But if you can imagine a statewide handicapping 10 championship in which players in Anderson, California can 11 be competing against players at Del Mar for a large purse 12 possibly on one of California's biggest racing days --13 As another tool to encourage GSRN membership, 14 track and satellite facilities throughout the state are 15 running local market promotions. These local market 16 promotions include drawings and bonus point days, specifically for GSRN members. And I'd like to invite somebody, if you'll 17 18 allow me, up to tell you a little bit more about local market 19 promotions, and that is CARF facility manager and Surf Side 20 Race Place, Mike Naff (phonetic). Mike, if you're back there 21 somewhere -- I believe Dave Elliott and CARF board member and 22 Cal Expo facility manager are also here. And he can tell you 23 a little bit about how he takes advantage of the information 24 from a northern California point of view. 25

MR. NAFF: Thank you and welcome to Surf Side. First I'd like to thank the CMC for moving the GSRN program forward on a statewide basis and their continuing support, and recognize T. Pat for taking the project from conception to 0048

on implementation in such a short period of time and with such an amazing amount of success. As we all know reward programs are just the standard offering of the customer service business these days. And in the 20 years that I was involved -- previous to my working here at Surf Side, in the Nevada casino markets it was essential and really considered the most

07 important marketing tool available.

08 It's all about building relationships, loyalty, 09 and as T. Pat mentioned, it has an invaluable database in 10 customer information and provides an effective marketing tool. Some of the results we've seen -- as T. Pat mentioned, we were 11 12 part of the pilot program that started in June of 2000. We 13 can look back now and take a little bit of a measurement of the impact of our numbers that we've experienced here with our 15 patrons when we initially implemented this in June of 2000 16 until today.

17

27

02

09

11

15

16

22

24

27

And one of the better ways to measure that is in 18 the per capita numbers, in other words, the amount of wagering 19 per patron on a daily basis. When we started this program 20 back in June of 2000 and roughly had about a thousand patrons 21 sign up almost immediately for this program, we saw an 22 immediate jump in close to ten percent for those per capita 23 numbers. Here one year later we've seen that increase another ten percent, in other words, 20 percent over what we were 25 seeing in per capita numbers compared to the startup of this 26 in June of 2000.

We've implemented, as T. Pat had mentioned, some 28 sort of value-added programs. We have a second-chance drawing 0049

01 that we conducted. It works simply like this, when you make a wager with your Golden State Rewards Network card your 03 membership number is printed right on the ticket. What we 04 provide is a drawing drum for patrons if they have nonwinning 05 tickets, and then towards the end of the day, towards the last 06 races of the day, we'll have a second chance drawing, and 07 drawing out one of those numbers and providing those folks 08 with a prize or a \$50 rewards voucher is what we're currently offering. Since we implemented that, we saw a ten percent 10 increase in our per capita handling.

So, you know, we really just scratched the surface 12 with this. And we're looking forward -- as T. Pat said --13 there's an awful lot of exciting things we can do, value added 14 programs for customers.

MR. TOURTELOT: Thank you very much.

MR. LICHT: I have a question. I know Rick Baker is 17 here. At Hollywood Park they were using the Golden State 18 Rewards for -- turn your ticket into the VIP room to monitor 19 large players, and when they started that there was a near 20 riot there. Literally, people went crazy. They were afraid 21 that they were -- the IRS was going to get access to this information, it was going to monitor wins and losses, it was 23 going to monitor IRS signups, all kinds of things.

And I really think -- from what I understand is, 25 all that's untrue, that all you do is monitor the volume of 26 the wagers, not winners, losers, or anything else. And if that's true, I really think that needs to go a long way 28 towards your marketing to tell people that, because people are 0050

afraid of all kinds of things. Because in divorce cases, 02 records are going to be subpoenaed, business transactions, all 03 kinds of things like that.

MR. STUBBS: When we launched on this project the first 05 thing we did is we went around the country and took a look at 06 systems that are in place at other facilities, as well as all

07 over the state of Nevada, and requested documentation of their 08 various programs. Interestingly enough, as you might think 09 that even in the state of Nevada that they would be very 10 well-documented programs, most of the programs consisted of 11 the less -- probably 12 rules total is the documentation of 12 what it was in Nevada.

13

17

23

26

0051

08

15

22

2.4

0052

This is the documentation of everything on Golden 14 State Rewards Network, from how to use the system to 20 pages 15 of policies as it pertains to the IRS, divorce, death, everything else that might occur in the course of this system. That is a question that continues to come up. We have 18 contacted an accounting firm for an opinion on the subject. 19 When we have that opinion back -- we've also contacted a legal 20 firm for an opinion on it -- when we have that opinion back, 21 we'll go ahead and release it publicly so we can once and for 22 all finally deal with that subject.

MR. LICHT: Is it true it just monitors the volume of 24 the bets? There's no way to determine what the bets actually 25 were?

MR. STUBBS: It is true. The system awards points to 27 patrons for their wagers in the total of the system. It also 28 awards bonus points on a daily basis to patrons for their

01 admission. And if a facility such as Hollywood Park, which 02 did a fantastic job in launching the project up in L.A., they 03 were to award double bonus points on specific days as did 04 Santa Anita as well, we would award those points. So what the 05 bank is recording is just the total amount of points. And 06 actually I'm glad -- I'll pass this around for you to take a 07 look.

One of the most important things in a system like 09 this is the privacy of our patrons. There are three people in 10 the state of California with full access to the system and --11 actually there's only one; his name's Dan Higgins (phonetic). 12 He's at CHRIMS up in northern California. The other 13 two individuals that have super user powers, if you will, are 14 myself and Mark Thurman, up at CHRIMS.

Now, the report -- that I'll go ahead and 16 circulate so you can take a look at it -- this is from one of 17 our larger players in the system. And when you take a look at 18 it you'll see what I mean by that. But at the bottom of the 19 report basically it shows total points earned, total points 20 redeemed, the per capita, and the total number of times that they came to the track. That's it. The per capita is derived from the admission bonus divided by -- dividing the admission 23 bonus into the total wager.

MR. LICHT: It seems like something that we could use 25 for security purposes too. In other words, if my number is 26 printed on when I purchase a pick six ticket and someone else cashes that ticket in, that's something I would imagine Mike 28 and you guys could use for security purposes.

01 MR. STUBBS: Absolutely. In fact, on one of the first 02 days of the program, two interesting things happened. Number 03 one, within an hour of the program being launched at the 04 Alameda County Fair at Pleasanton, a gentleman there used his 05 card at Pleasanton -- who's a delivery driver -- drove up to

06 have lunch a couple hours later at Golden Gate and ended up 07 having dinner over at Bay Meadows and used his card at each 08 location. As he was traveling along, he lost one of his vouchers. And he wasn't sure where he lost it. So he asked a 10 customer service agent, "you know, I just joined this program. 11 Is there any way this program might help find my voucher, and 12 we can take care of it?"

13

16

17

20

22

23

27

0.1

06 07

08

11

13

19

21

27

0054

We went into the tote room, pulled his member 14 number up, found where the voucher had been lost, and were able to kill the voucher so that nobody else was able to use that voucher, and reissue it. This program is all about customer service. The more we can make the customer happy on 18 situations like that, providing them additional services and security, we'll continue to build it into the program.

MR. HARRIS: I was interested in that per capita 21 number that you said was up 20 percent. Is that of the members or your total facility was up?

MR. STUBBS: That's a total facility number, obviously 24 impacted by the members that are in the program. Currently 25 we're running at -- on some days close to 40 percent of our 26 handle is rewarded through that program.

Why would a person not want to be a MR. HARRIS: 28 member, assuming it would be higher than that at satellite? 0053

MR. STUBBS: Well, I think there's always a little 02 apprehension to a new product. And Mr. Licht made some 03 mention as to some fears that frankly are unfounded. Again, 04 in Nevada when we initially started up a player tracking 05 program in 1991, we had a rather -- what I thought was dismal startup, about ten percent of our players first year. By the time I left the Lake Tahoe area our total player track handle approached 70 percent. So it's something that people I think 09 tend to get warmed up to. And the best salesmen are the 10 players themselves.

MR. HARRIS: So on marketing it to new players, is this 12 strictly done at the track or is there a way to market it to people who are not at the track?

MR. STUBBS: We have an existing list of names that we 15 mailed to a previous admissions system and so we used that 16 pretty effectively in trying to draw memberships as well as 17 incenting signups through promotional on a large basis like 18 the Kentucky Derby days and Preakness and so on.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: To address that as well, a new 20 part of the system that was just implemented here for the Del Mar race yesterday -- it's been our concept all along that 22 one card rewards you statewide. I personally don't like to 23 have a big thick wallet full of cards and membership cards 24 from everywhere. And so because of that we thought about turf 25 club members at various race tracks and people that carry 26 around credentials.

We have designed into the system now the ability 28 to enroll new patrons, when they would like to be, by just

01 simply scanning -- whether it's their Del Mar Turf Club card 02 or Santa Anita Turf Club card or the Hollywood Park or Bay 03 Meadows, or Golden Gate Turf Club cards into the system. And 04 the bug sits on the back of the program -- on the back of the 05 cards like as on an ATM card to tell them that they can use 06 the system if they want to.

07

15

17

22

24

27

0055

03

05

06

08

11

13

15

18

20

21

26

Yesterday at Del Mar -- we're waiting for the 08 numbers to come back -- but we did have quite a few turf club 09 members, brand new signups into the system by -- opting into 10 the system by scanning their turf club cards into the tote 11 machines. So that's one of many ways. We've also used E-mail 12 marketing. And coming out of the meetings tomorrow, we'll probably launch into more of a direct mail marketing campaign 13 to model players to bring out to the racetrack.

MR. TOURTELOT: Where do you put the card, right into 16 the tote machine?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Right into the tote machine. 18 the right side of the tote machine there's a little slot there 19 and on the left side you put your bet slip and on the left 20 side you slip your card. There's no reason to ever hand it to 21 anybody else; the patron can do it all themselves.

MR. TOURTELOT: Did they have to modify the tote 23 machines for that or was the slot already there?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The slot was always there and 25 for years it just wasn't used. In fact, the biggest problem 26 we ran into when we launched here at Del Mar last year on opening day -- I'm surprised Joe still has me around -- is 28 that a lot of the cards, when we slid them in the machine

01 everything was all dusty, and so it wasn't reading anything. 02 So we've taken care of that.

MR. LANDSBURG: What is your numbers in terms of the 04 number of players you can handle administratively before the system overloads the process?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The database system -- as far as 07 overloading the database --

MR. LANDSBURG: Just the administration of it.

09 Obviously you have to send people out to get information back; 10 that's what I'm worried about.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: When we originally did the pilot 12 program and then wrote up the proposal for the full-scale launch, the assumptions that were made were 40 percent of 14 handle in the state of California.

MR. LANDSBURG: I just meant number. You have 16 somewhere near 20,000 now that are members of the program. 17 Where does that go?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We will continue to go up as 19 high --

> MR. LANDSBURG: I would hope so.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: As many patrons in the state of 22 California that want to sign up for the system, the system has 23 been built to handle as many patrons as possible. And, in 24 fact, we've been contacted by other jurisdictions for them to 25 get involved with the network. So we can go as large as possible.

27 MR. LANDSBURG: Then will there be outreach -- the last 28 part of that question, to the non-fan -- to use this as a base 0056

01 to bring in the so-called non-fan.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Once we have a large enough 0.2 03 database in place where we can take a look at the lifestyles 04 and the demographics of the patrons that are currently coming 05 to the track, and then we can go ahead and model those folks 06 and reach out to new people. One of the interesting things 07 is, and I'm sure this isn't a shock, but a large percentage of 08 our people like to do two things. They like casino gambling 09 and they like to watch sports on television. So we're 10 learning more and more about the patrons. Some of them are 11 answering questions that we always knew.

MR. HARRIS: On your budget, which is about 2.5 13 million, how many people are you figuring would be in the 14 program at the 2.5 million dollar level?

12

15

19

21

23

28 0057

05

10

18

25

0058

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: That was originally part of the 16 40 percent handle. So we're still growing. We've only been 17 full-scale launched since April 1. So we've got room to grow. 18 And the budget is currently there to handle it.

MR. HARRIS: It wasn't really clear. You'll be 20 operating at Capitol -- how do you separate on that budget? UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't have in front of me the 22 numbers that you're speaking to.

MR. HARRIS: I wasn't really sure if a point is earned, 24 then it goes into valet parking or programs or something. How 25 does that money actually change hands? Does the person get a 26 voucher and it allows them to buy a program? Or does the 27 track turn that in and they pay --

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: As part of the agreement to

01 participate in the program, each of the facilities throughout 02 the state, there are certain soft costs and there are certain 03 hard costs. Valet parking and admission and things of that 04 nature would be considered a soft cost. Those costs would be absorbed by the individual facility such as Surf Side Race 06 Place or Cal Expo or Del Mar as their form of payment for 07 participating in the program. The additional hard costs would 08 be something along the lines of merchandise or food and 09 beverage or wagering vouchers.

MS. MORETTI: Pat, could you reiterate about what you 11 said about tracking the fair patron, because that to me is 12 something obviously transforms from this discussion into our 13 discussion in the fact that I've had with other people who 14 were in the audience in terms of, you know, we say that the 15 fair is where we generate a lot of new fans to the racetracks. 16 How are you going to track that? I heard you say it, but I 17 didn't quite understand it.

MR. STUBBS: The CARF facilities have been tremendous 19 in putting this entire project together. And as we were 20 reaching out to them and asking them what can we do for you, 21 what are you currently doing, and how can we make what you do 22 better, one of the things that came up was the fair trials at 23 which we were gathering names and possibly some demographic 24 material from these people that are going from the fairs to the racetrack. But that information, once it was being 26 gathered, wasn't necessarily always being utilized for 27 promotional purposes later on in the year. And once we have a 28 customer's name and we know they've been to the racetrack, why

01 not send them a letter saying "Hey, hope you had a great day 02 at the races; come on back for another great day. We're going 03 to be open this weekend with all these great races."

04

07

10

13

19

21

0059

02

07

14

16

17

23

25

24

26

0060

What we designed into the system now is a data 05 store whereby all of that information can be input into the system. And from that location the fairs can generate letters, send E-mails directly from the machine out to those 08 patrons, reach out to them and invite them back into their 09 racing facilities.

Hollywood Park actually did a fantastic job of 11 taking advantage of this tool during their race meet. Every time they had a pick six carry over come up, the E-mail data store is right there. They can go in and input the 14 information and say, "Big pick six carry over this July; come 15 out to any racing facility in the state of California where 16 you can play it." They would send that E-mail out to notify 17 folks that might miss it in the newspaper that there was a big 18 pick six carry over.

MS. GRANZELLA: Even the tracks that get together for 20 special promotions, like double points, you know.

MR. STUBBS: We have implemented double point programs 22 at Hollywood Park, Santa Anita, and I believe -- if we haven't 23 already implemented it up in northern California I know it's 24 on the agenda. At Bay Meadows they gave away T-shirts. At 25 one point along with their people that had cards last week --I believe, down here at Surf Side, as they were closing up 27 their race meet, the GSRN folks received cards. And I didn't 28 hear Dave's voice back there, but Dave Elliott up at Cal Expo

01 has done a tremendous job with his patrons.

The system -- Dave had a program in place whereby 03 he would award parking passes for the fairs to his best players. And one of the best things about this system is you 05 really find out who the best players are. And those folks 06 that are yelling in your face a lot of the time weren't really the best players, just the ones with the loudest voice. And 08 what Dave has found out is he has been able to identify new 09 players that were out in his room that they didn't necessarily 10 know were in the room. A lot of these players do play 11 self-service and when they don't go to a mutual teller we 12 don't know who they are; therefore we can't take care of them 13 and continue to keep them here in the state of California.

MS. GRANZELLA: Have you seen a significant increase in 15 the tenants?

MR. STUBBS: Do you want to answer that for Surf Side? MR. ZIEGLER: The few times we've held promotions --18 particularly we had it one year not long ago where we were 19 giving away T-shirts to members and also to the folks that 20 were interested in signing up. You know, our typical attendance on the weekend would be say 1200, 1300 people, and 22 on that particular day we had close to 1800. So it's significant.

MS. GRANZELLA: But did they come and bet or did they just come and pick up the T-shirt?

MR. ZIEGLER: You know, I think that we haven't seen them just go through turnstiles to just try and get T-shirts. 28 Basically the benefit for them is to sign up with the Golden

01 State Rewards Network card. On that particular day it was a

02 good handle day and typically you see the cap drop on days --MR. HARRIS: How is Surf Side doing this year?

MR. ZIEGLER: Our attendance numbers are slightly down, 05 but our handle numbers are up, you know, a small percentage.

MS. MORETTI: Do you have something else to say? In 07 terms of the -- maybe you could clarify, Jack, the sunset --08 the budget for this committee and how long will this budget 09 continue to fund this program because --

MR. VAN DE KAMP: This is John Van de Kamp. vice-chair of the committee working with Jack and the other 12 members. The law sunsets July 1 of next year. And we have a 13 bill that's in the state legislature right now that will 14 extend it for a number of years beyond that. And we hope that 15 will get out and get signed by the governor so we can continue 16 on.

I was just going to add -- I know Dave can tell 18 you more anecdotally about how the program works. I think those of us on the committee think it's the most successful 20 thing that we've done. We're catching up with the rest of the 21 world on this kind of thing. And we are now -- I think 22 California can say in the racing industry that it has led the 23 way with this kind of a program. And this kind of program 24 that T. Pat just described is being sought throughout the 25 racing world and the United States, and will be passing on 26 through license agreements our technology to other states, and 27 for which I think we'll get some fair reimbursement that will 28 going back into this program.

And Jack was just saying CREMS has put together 02 the software for this program and has been a very important part of it and that, of course, is another very important segment of the California horse racing industry that 05 Mark Thurman has headed.

MR. TOURTELOT: I think it's really exciting what 07 you're doing. It's interesting on the race date committee 08 last -- I don't know a month ago, a person I'm not going to 09 identify got up who was new to racing, but has somewhat of a 10 managerial background and kind of took us all to task, saying 11 what we really need to do in California is to develop a plan 12 -- a business plan, going on and on about what we ought to do 13 with respect to our -- and I picked myself up off the floor 14 and decided what to say without embarrassing the person too 15 much, because we have the NTRA, we have a tremendous job being done by Jack and his committee on the California Marketing Committee with promotions of the tracks, like Del Mar, Santa 18 Anita. I thought it incredulous that we would be admonished 19 for not having a, quote, business plan for marketing.

So you're doing a great job and it's going to 21 show. You already told us about the statistics. I think 22 they're only going to get better.

MR. HARRIS: On the plan, this is like a 6 or 7 million 24 dollar a year -- do I understand there's some check-off money 25 that's going to NTRA for both the horsemen and some of the tracks. Can you quantify how much money is going to NTRA for their promotion?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. I can speak for the TOC

27

03

04

06

10

11

17

19

0061 01

06

17

20

23

01 and the amount of money. We put in approximately a million 02 and a half, from the horsemen about a million and a half, from the tracks combined that goes to the NTRA. And I serve now on 03 the Board of the NTRA representing the horsemen of the west. 05 And they were here just this last Sunday with the press 06 conference at Hollywood Park that I think some people in this 07 room attended about some of the things that they're doing 08 nationally.

And I think it's fair to say things are smoothing 10 out on the NTRA front. And we're going to see a lot more 11 national television on horse racing certainly this year than 12 we did three or four years ago. And their program seems to be 13 moving ahead pretty well, especially since they've combined 14 with the Breeder's Cup.

MR. TOURTELOT: And their new commercials are a tremendous improvement over the original ones.

09

15

17

18

23

28

0063

03

80

12

15

16

17

22

2.3

16

MR. ELLIOTT: Dave Elliott, California State Fair. I just wanted to add, the tireless efforts of T. Pat and the CMC 19 and the CREMS staff -- I saw a few of them here. We 20 implemented the program around the first of May; the northern 21 sites they just had to do it when they did it. And this 22 program has been real beneficial to us. In years past without this program we had a hard copy frequent player card that we 24 issued when Stockton began and people punched it out. And 25 they had to sign out and this and that. And what that did is 26 they got a free pass to the California State Fair and a free 27 parking pass during our live meet.

Now with the Golden State Rewards card, that was

01 our push to get people to sign up. "Look, if you want your free fair pass this year you have to sign up for this card." And they're using it every day. And like Pat said also it 04 helps better be able to identify who our better players are. 05 And those better players obviously will get better rewards 06 during the live state fair. So all in all the program has 07 been very successful with us as well.

MR. HARRIS: On the other part of the programs --09 you're focusing a lot on the Golden States Rewards Program, 10 but on the other parts is there some way you're evaluating the 11 effectiveness of those dollars being spent on the --

MR. LIEBAU: Jack Liebau. Like everything else, 50 13 percent might be working, but I don't know if we know which 50 14 percent. Yes, we are trying to evaluate that the best we can. And I think at some point in time there are going to have to be some hard decisions made as far as allocation of dollars. And I would assume frankly from my own perspective if the 18 Golden State Rewards program continues to grow that we're going to have to allocate more money to it. And since we 20 really only have a finite amount at this time there's going to 21 have to be some parts reduced or deleted. So that's an ongoing pass that the committee has.

I'd just like to say in conclusion that I've 24 been -- this program has far exceeded my expectations. And as 25 Greg and I and the other directors and members of the 26 committee -- it's hard to believe that we were involved in 27 anything that became this successful. And I have to attribute 28 it entirely to T. Pat and -- collectively, to T. Pat, and a

0064 01 lot of credit goes to Shannon McDonald, who is unbelievably efficient at keeping after those of us that let things lapse 03 from time to time. 04 And also I think that this is a homegrown system 05 and that's what was touched on. And when we started out we 06 looked at several other programs with a similar nature and we 07 decided that we would go with CHRIMS. And frankly, it's 08 unbelievable. As far as the software is concerned, we just 09 haven't had any glitches. And it's just worked out 10 marvelously. And for that reason a lot of credit has to go to 11 T. Pat and CHRIMS and everybody else that was involved in it. 12 And I know that -- at least speaking for three members of the 13 committee -- Mr. Van de Kamp, myself -- I think that none of 14 the success goes to us. Thank you. 15 MR. TOURTELOT: Thank you, Jack. And thank all the 16 others. We all look forward to watching it grow in the 17 future. We have to move on now. The next item will be the 18 discussion and action by the Board on the request of the Bay 19 Meadows Operating Company -- we're going to take a five-minute 20 break, and then we'll reconvene. 21 (Recess) 22 MR. TOURTELOT: The next item is the discussion and 23 action by the Board on the request of the Bay Meadows 24 Operating Company to distribute charity race proceeds in the 25 amount of \$40,599 to 12 beneficiaries. MS. REAGAN: Commissioners, John Reagan, CHRB staff. 27 This is the semi-annual request from Bay Meadows to distribute 28 the money to the beneficiaries to check out with more than 88 0065 01 percent of these dollars contributed to horse racing 02 charities. We recommend approval. 03 MR. TOURTELOT: Any questions? The chair will 04 entertain a motion. 05 MR. HARRIS: I move we approve. 06 (Motion was unanimously carried) 07 MR. LANDSBURG: We salute them for 88 percent going to 08 horseman's charities. MR. TOURTELOT: Bay Meadows has always been in the 10 forefront of the highest percent of what was required. And 11 after Mr. Liebau -- you took over from the other people, we've 12 had such a great response since then. 13 MR. HARRIS: That litigation is still pending. MR. LIEBAU: Yes, that's the case, although I spent 14 15 three hours in deposition because they're suing us again. And 16 this frankly was sort of a carryover that I inherited in 1992 17 from something that Doris Johnson had established at Bay 18 Meadows and it has been a terrible burden. 19 MR. LANDSBURG: Ms. Johnson happens to be in the audience. 20 MR. TOURTELOT: I see Ms. Johnson. Thank you. I 21 appreciate Commissioner Landsburg for pointing that out. The next and last item on the agenda before we get 23 to other business is a staff report on the San Joaquin County 24 Fair in Stockton, June 13 through June 24, 2001.

MR. REAGAN: Yes, commissioners. This is our end of meet report. And we did hurry this up and make this agenda for a couple of reasons. We wanted to show, first of

28 all, the handle and the difference is there. But also there's 0066 01 been a lot of discussion about the average field size, and we wanted to get the first fair to you so we could kind of see 03 how it's going. And there was a slight decrease, .2 horses 04 average start per event, but not really significant, I 05 wouldn't think. But overall that's why we have this report 06 here today. And if you have any other questions or concerns 07 we'll try to answer those. 08 MR. TOURTELOT: Mr. Harris? Λ9 MR. HARRIS: Actually it is refreshing to see a live 10 handle. This might be the first meet in California this year 11 that had an up ticket live handle. MR. TOURTELOT: Any other questions? All right. 13 next will be a report from the Race Dates Committee Chairman, 14 which is me. And the report is that we had a meeting and we put out a press release regarding the meeting asking everybody 15 16 to get together to try to resolve some of the issues that 17 confront us. And I'm not optimistic that's been done. In any 18 event, we'll have another meeting tomorrow and then following 19 that meeting the race committee will make a recommendation to 20 the full Board for race dates for the 2002. 21 Whatever we do, it's not going to satisfy 22 everybody. And as Commissioner Harris said, if everybody 23 hates it that means it's pretty good. So we have to do the 24 best we can. I'd love to give you all the dates you want, but 25 there's only seven days in a week. And trying to fit 10 26 things with two days each into seven days doesn't work. But 27 we'll try to give everybody a little bit. 28 Somebody asked me yesterday why are you having the 0067 01 race dates committee after the Board meeting, assuming we were 02 going to pass on the race dates for 2002 today, but that would 03 be a big mistake. I don't think we'd have too many people 04 there. In any event, we're going to vote on it in August. 05 We're going to make recommendations to the full board in the 06 August meeting. 07 Next item is general business, communications, 08 reports, requests for future action of the Board. 09 MR. BAKER: My name is Wayne Baker and I'm the 10 president of the San Luis Rey Downs Horsemen's Association. 11 I'm here today to request your assistance in looking --12 MR. TOURTELOT: Could you speak a little louder. 13 MR. BAKER: I'm here today to request your assistance 14 in looking into our situation at San Luis Rey Downs. On July 15 16th we were told by Magna that our stall rent would be 16 increasing by 50 percent. I'm sure you understand what this 17 will do to our horsemen. Any help or any advice you could 18 give us would be greatly appreciated. Thank you. 19 MR. TOURTELOT: What is the problem with the stalls? 20 MR. BAKER: They're going to raise the rent 50 percent. MR. TOURTELOT: 21 60? 22 MR. BAKER: 50 percent, from \$8 a day to \$12 a day. 23 MR. TOURTELOT: That's Magna? 2.4 MR. LANDSBURG: Magna. 2.5 MR. LIEBAU: Jack Liebau. Yes, for a number of years 26 the San Luis Rey horsemen have requested a subsidy, which has

```
27 not been forthcoming. Although SCOTWINC does pay a
28 starter's allowance -- or starter's payment to those that
0068
01 start out of San Luis Rey Downs, we lose, Magna has been
02 losing over $750,000 a year. The books are open to the CHRB
03 and any other public member that has an interest and wants to
04 review the books. But we simply cannot continue to lose
05 $750,000. I don't think the rent has been raised since 1992
06 or 1996.
07
           MR. TOURTELOT: How many stalls do you have?
NΑ
           MR. LIEBAU: We have 525 stalls available. We have had
09 a certain influx of horses because of Del Mar and for that
10 reason the rent is not going to be raised until September 1st,
11 so that it won't hurt those people that have moved in for the
12 Del Mar meet. If our horse population is not sufficient, the
13 economics simply compel us to close the site. But we simply
    cannot continue to carry this burden of $750,000 loss a year.
15
    We think the facility is a benefit to all of the industry in
16 California, certainly to Southern California, but it's just
17 unrealistic to think that burden would be assumed by Magna.
18
           MR. TOURTELOT: How much is the increase, not the
19 percentage, but the actual increase over what they're paying
20 down?
21
           MR. LIEBAU: It goes from $8 to $12 and --
           MR. TOURTELOT: $4 times 12 is what? Well, that's not
2.2
23 going to get you anywhere near --
           MR. LIEBAU: Well, that's a day, Mr. Chair.
           MR. TOURTELOT: That's going to cover the 750,000?
25
26
           MR. LIEBAU: We hope to get there. Frankly, my --
27
           MR. TOURTELOT: I didn't think it was per year.
28
           MR. LIEBAU: -- direction was to simply close the
0069
01 thing. I thought if I could raise the rent and come closer to
02 breaking even --
03
           MR. TOURTELOT: When you guys bought it you knew the
04 numbers. I find that --
           MR. LIEBAU: Well, you know, first of all the property
05
06 was bought, it's no secret, for somewhere between 6 or 7
07 million dollars and could be developed at any point in time
08 for 12 million. If this was just a pure case of economic
09 greed or corporate greed as sometimes we're accused of, we
10 would just close it and develop it. We hope that it'll
11 continue at -- you know, to be open at $12. And since I have
12 about 30 horses there, it's as much a burden on me as anybody
13
    else.
14
           MR. HARRIS: I think there was a program where horses
15 are paid to start?
16
           MR. LIEBAU: Yes, there is. I think John could
17
    comment, but I think it's 400 and something for the first
18 start and 200 and something thereafter.
19
           MR. VAN DE KAMP: We're paying somewhere around
20 $300,000 a year out of the fund for starters. And I think
21 we're now up to -- my numbers may be a little bit off -- I
22 think it's $600 for the first start and 450 thereafter. And
23 when the Del Mar meeting hit, we had a meeting last week and
24 it became apparent that the stabling here was going to be
25 overloaded and they're going to have to divert some horses.
```

26 And so they had an increase. We're up to 445 according to 27 what I heard this morning and up to 525 at San Luis Rey. And 28 we made it clear that the starter's fee would be made 0070

05

07

13

14

23

25

27

0071

14

16

17

01 available to those horses just moving in as long as they were 02 stabled there at least ten consecutive days, whether it was 03 before or after that start, to try to make it easier on those 04 who moved in.

We're working, I think -- I talked to Brent 06 Lauder (phonetic) this morning, who went down and I think gave the bad news to the trainers at San Luis Rey on the 08 16th as Mr. Baker has reported. I started to get telephone 09 calls and a little bit of panic. The good news I think at 10 least tentatively is that they're talking about not August 11 1st, as was reported to me by some of the trainers and people 12 from San Luis Rey, but September 1st, and they're looking to see if there are any ways they can cut costs.

And I've asked Mr. Carwacki at SCOTWINC to 15 give us a review of all of the costs we've incurred to try to 16 help the program to see if there's anything that can be done 17 to minimize this whole business. And I hope in the next three 18 or four weeks that we might be able to do that. But going 19 back into history, one -- some of you who were there, I'm not 20 sure anybody on this Board was there when this occurred, 21 although Alan I know was on the board at TOC -- at one time 22 Scott was paying \$600,000 a year.

MR. TOURTELOT: I was here before TOC became into 24 existence.

MR. VAN DE KAMP: Okay. So you were around when 26 that was going on. The problem was that very few horses were being sent actually to start. It was less than two a day. 28 Fairplex was averaging two to three times that. And so it was

01 believed that a starter's fee to get horses to participate in 02 racing programs was the best way to go. So we were able to 03 cut that \$600,000 a year, which is paid for by the tracks and 04 by the horsemen out of the purses. So it was cut in half 05 basically, freeing up some money for purses as well as for the 06 tracks. So all I can say is, in concluding this this morning, 07 and I hope Mr. Baker takes this back, we're looking at it to 08 see what can be done. I would not like to see that facility 09 closed, because I know a lot of horsemen down here are 10 dependent on it. On the other hand, there's a lot of stabling 11 at Hollywood and Santa Anita that does not get used. And that 12 is always a concern. We'd like to see horses out of San Luis 13 Rey running more often than they are.

MR. TOURTELOT: John, could you give us a report at the 15 next meeting what you find out?

MR. VAN DE KAMP: I'd be happy to. I think one thing I'd like to point out is that historically San Luis Rey 18 Downs has been a facility that has been used by two-year-olds with great regularity. Some of your biggest owners in 20 California have all their two-year-olds at San Luis Rey Downs 21 and then go on to various other tracks. As far as cutting 22 expenses, believe me, we've been trying to cut expenses. I 23 would also point out that there's another facility close by 24 that has for a number of years charged \$10 a day. So the \$8

25 -- I think it's really unfair for anybody to point fingers at 26 Magna for doing this, because it's been going on for longer than it should have. And we just simply can't continue to 27 28 incur this burden of \$750,000 a year. It just doesn't make 0072

01 any sense.

02

03

12

14

19

27

03

15

16

17

20

MR. TOURTELOT: Mr. Baker.

MR. BAKER: I'd just like to say that we're not 04 pointing fingers at Magna. What we're doing is trying to attempt to get sole subsidy. And also I think it's incorrect 06 to say that Fairplex starts two to three times more horses 07 than we do, because I've been keeping track of it this year 08 and that's not true. And if they count the horses that run 09 from the fair meet there, then they probably do start more 10 than us, because they have 18 days of racing with all those 11 horses racing at 12 to 13 races a day. But if you don't count those you'll find we have equal if not more starters at San 13 Luis Rey Downs.

MR. TOURTELOT: Thank you. Is there a possibility, 15 Jack, that if the TOC and you all are looking into the 16 expenses and find that you can shave some expenses in other 17 areas that you may not go the full 50 percent increase -- I 18 mean, Scott Wayne.

MR. WAYNE: Scott Wayne. I think the position of Magna 20 is we feel that we should be treated the same as Pomona is and 21 that's always been the position. I think when we talk about 22 the, you know, what has happened in the past there's always 23 been controversy as to whether San Luis Rey Downs should be 24 subsidized. And at one point in time there was -- I think 25 Mr. Reagan can speak to this -- some confusion as to the law whether a facility that wasn't owned by a racetrack was eligible for a subsidy or not. And then there was a kind of 28 stretch of a ruling by the Horse Racing Board staff that it 0073

01 was -- and there was this \$600,000 and there was this period 02 that nothing was paid. And then there was a starter fee.

And the law had be amended so as to cover any 04 facility, didn't have to be owned by a racetrack, it now 05 happens to be owned by a racetrack so it qualifies under 06 the new law, but it would also qualify under the law prior 07 to that. And this is just a burden that we cannot sustain 08 economically any longer. So the \$12 looks like it would 09 come close to breaking us even. I'm a little skeptical as 10 to whether we'll be able to maintain sufficient horse 11 population, although yesterday we were advised that one of 12 the leading owners in California is moving 12 to 15 horses 13 in, notwithstanding the fact that the rent has been 14 raised.

MR. TOURTELOT: Mr. Baker --

MR. LANDSBURG: May I ask a question? Is there some way, Jack, that we can with the staggering of both information -- I was part of the group that had -- at TOC that 19 had voted against continuing the subsidies.

MR. LIEBAU: As did other tracks. You weren't alone. But in looking at it and in seeing 200 MR. LANDSBURG: 22 or more horses down there, most of them two years old, who 23 will eventually be coming to racing, and trying to find some

24 way -- some mechanism within the rules that we can offset some 25 of your losses -- perhaps there is a way if we all work 26 together in the next month that you could hold off on the 27 raise until we at least have a definition for the raise. 28 MR. WAYNE: We have said that the raise will not be 0074 01 effective until September 1st. And I must say this is not 02 news to the people of San Luis Rey Downs. It has been 03 rumored, I'm sure, and Mr. Baker could confirm, for a number of months that the rent was going to be raised. And frankly, it's my fault that it hadn't been done earlier. But we have, 06 you know, given at least a month-and-a-half notice of the 07 raise and did that in deference to the people who moved in 08 from Del Mar. But we certainly are willing to work with 09 anybody. There's no question about that. I would rather have 10 the facility -- I have a personal interest in having the 11 facility open. 12 MR. HARRIS: I think there is concern too among the 13 farm sectors and lay-up sectors that are operating privately 14 absent any kind of subsidies, that we not really subsidize 15 somebody that's competing with, you know --MR. LANDSBURG: I don't disagree, John, but none of the 16 17 farms are operating at the level apparently that San Luis Rey 18 is. 19 MR. BAKER: Can I say that San Luis Rey Downs is a 20 California Horse Racing Board licensed facility. It's not a 21 farm. 22 MR. TOURTELOT: I would think that with the three 23 tracks in California, Jack, that Magna would have a strong interest in keeping this facility going. You have 25 two-year-olds out there. 26 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: One of the strange things -- and 27 there may have been some recalculation -- but I had offered at 28 least the potential of having San Luis Rey funded this summer 0075 01 during the Del Mar meeting. If indeed we could limit the 02 number of horses that would be here at Del Mar, that is that they could handle enough surplus to reduce the number of 04 horses on track here, it would reduce the amount of horses 05 that would be training on the main track here in the morning. 06 I think because of the existing number of horses 07 that are already stabled at San Luis Rey that was turned down. 08 But as I think back on that, the amount of money that would 09 have been provided for that would have done just about 10 everything that they needed, I think, to break even, but they 11 had reached their own conclusion on that. MR. LIEBAU: That's really unfair. We are staying open 12 13 through Del Mar. There's no question. There was never any 14 discussion about subsidizing subsequent to Del Mar. We are 15 staying open through Del Mar. And we are going to provide as good facility as we have in the past. And we are doing that 16 in deference to Del Mar for the good of the industry. We've 17 18 given a month-and-a-half notice. We aren't asking to make 19 money on this facility. There's a six-million-dollar 20 investment there that we're willing to break even on for the 21 good of the industry. The Board is more than welcome to come

22 in and audit our books. There's no question about it.

MR. TOURTELOT: I'm going to cut off the debate on it, 24 unless some of the commissioners protest. We're not going to 25 resolve it today. I would encourage Jack Liebau and 26 Scott Wayne to get together between now and the next meeting 27 to see if there's anything that can be done to shave the 50 28 percent increase. So you're still going to work towards 0076 01 relieving your losses, but doing it in some other area. MR. LANDSBURG: And perhaps TOC can revisit its 02 03 position. 0.4MR. TOURTELOT: So that's enough of that. We're now 05 moving on to old business. Any issues that are --06 MR. HARRIS: Just on the general business, I would like 07 to announce that we're planning a medications committee 08 meeting of the -- a medications committee meeting shortly 09 before the August meeting, the day before, probably. So if 10 any of the industry has any issues on medications they'd like 11 to have reviewed, it would be a good time to submit it for our 12 agenda. 13 MR. LANDSBURG: I have just an old piece of business. 14 How is the hospital coming at Bay Meadows for the horses -- I 15 mean Golden Gate? 16 MR. LIEBAU: The hospital at Golden Gate Fields is 17 really in the hands of the veterinarian at Golden Gate Fields 18 to come up with a functional facility. As far as planning is 19 concerned, the site has been picked. The demolition has taken 20 place that's necessary to build the site. And it's just sort 21 of happening. 22 MR. LANDSBURG: It's one of those long riding waves. 23 MR. LIEBAU: Exactly, that sometimes you don't have any 24 control over. 25 MR. TOURTELOT: Any other old business? We're going to 26 adjourn now for purposes of closing the executive session. We 27 will reconvene, but not for the purposes of taking any other 28 testimony. Thank you for coming. 0077 01 (Hearing adjourned at 12:50 p.m.) 02 03 04 05 06 07 08 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

19 20 21 24

26